



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJBcRR_5019
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the concentration of selected heavy metals and the effects on soil enzymatic activities in an abandoned cement factory Nigercem Nkalagu and the environs
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)																												
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a nice little paper and no compulsory revisions are required	Comments are accepted and corrections made and sent as reviewed copy																												
Minor REVISION comments	There are a number of typos and I have noted as many as possible: <table><tr><th>Line</th><th>Comment</th></tr><tr><td>40</td><td>but at a high</td></tr><tr><td>40</td><td>alter the</td></tr><tr><td>47</td><td>organisms only while</td></tr><tr><td>47</td><td>(Li <i>et al.</i>, 2005) or indeed ignored.</td></tr><tr><td>50</td><td>The toxic effects</td></tr><tr><td>52</td><td>cycling and impaired</td></tr><tr><td>52</td><td>Sentence starting “Toxicity to...” is not clear</td></tr><tr><td>56</td><td>solubility, availability</td></tr><tr><td>56</td><td>The high pH</td></tr><tr><td>Section 2.1</td><td>What years are the authors referring to in this section?</td></tr><tr><td>65-66</td><td>activities took place in this are</td></tr><tr><td>66</td><td>42 years</td></tr><tr><td>Fig 1</td><td>Map is a little basic</td></tr></table>	Line	Comment	40	but at a high	40	alter the	47	organisms only while	47	(Li <i>et al.</i> , 2005) or indeed ignored.	50	The toxic effects	52	cycling and impaired	52	Sentence starting “Toxicity to...” is not clear	56	solubility, availability	56	The high pH	Section 2.1	What years are the authors referring to in this section?	65-66	activities took place in this are	66	42 years	Fig 1	Map is a little basic	All recommendations by reviewer are implemented
Line	Comment																													
40	but at a high																													
40	alter the																													
47	organisms only while																													
47	(Li <i>et al.</i> , 2005) or indeed ignored.																													
50	The toxic effects																													
52	cycling and impaired																													
52	Sentence starting “Toxicity to...” is not clear																													
56	solubility, availability																													
56	The high pH																													
Section 2.1	What years are the authors referring to in this section?																													
65-66	activities took place in this are																													
66	42 years																													
Fig 1	Map is a little basic																													



SDI Review Form 1.6

	77	1 km (not lkm)	
	78	samples	
	82	What is a sac?	
	Table 1	Last two rows should be separated	
	216	results	
	227	results	
	229	to increased aerobic conditions	
	230	activities more than	
	254-255	concentration at 10cm	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The fact that the metal levels and enzymatic activity are being estimated with a view towards assessing the suitability of soil for agricultural use is a little lost in the document and could be better emphasised in the introduction		We refer to the safety of soil for agricultural purposes